




Existence Is Infinite

Moderators: AMod, iMod

Post Reply

🔍

🔍 Search this topic...

🔍

⚙️

731 posts

1

...

45

46

47

48

49

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [daniel j lavender](#) » Mon Aug 18, 2025 9:09 am

🗨️

🗨️ Martin Peter Clarke wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 9:06 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 9:00 am

🗨️ Martin Peter Clarke wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 8:35 am

Certainly an understandable and appropriate inquiry as the concepts are indeed intricate.

I discussed this previously, if further clarification is required it shall be provided:

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Sun Jul 02, 2023 6:38 pm

A concept is. A concept exists. A concept is part of existence. It is obviously perceived, interacted with and acknowledged here in discussion. It has properties or qualities as it is conceptual. All of those are signatures of existence. All of those details concern being.

The reason you claim to “only know it as a concept” is because it is only a concept. Or word or term. Nonexistence does not actually exist.

All things, including the concept, are things, are parts of existence. All there is is existence.

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Tue Jul 04, 2023 12:25 am

Yes, concepts may have counterparts as they are parts of existence, concepts alone are not the entirety of existence.

Parts can have counterparts. Hence the term counterparts.

Existence in general, the entirety, cannot have a counterpart. It is the entirety. It is all. All is all.

Existence, as a concept, could indeed have a conceptual counterpart. And that conceptual counterpart would be, it would be part of existence, not nonexistence. Hence the contradictory concept nonexistence.

Again, parts can have counterparts. The word, the term, the concept *existence* itself is not all things, it is merely a part. However I use the term existence in representation of, in reference to all things including the term and concept itself. Language has limits but to convey the idea that’s the route one must take.

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Tue Jul 04, 2023 5:51 pm

The counterpart of the *concept* existence.

Yes. The concept, the term “nonexistence” is a concept. It exists. That would not be nonexistence or no thing. That is a term, a concept. A thing. Not no thing. Not nonexistence. Nonexistence is not and cannot be.

Again, that is a conceptual counterpart of the *concept* existence, not a counterpart of existence in its entirety.

As stated, both the concept existence and the concept nonexistence are only concepts. Concepts are not the totality of existence and thus can have counterparts.

The totality of existence, which includes the concept existence and the concept nonexistence along with all other things, does not and cannot have a counterpart as it is all things. It is all existence. It is existence. The Infinite, which has no counterpart, which is not exceeded and which is unlimited.

The concept nonexistence is not a counterpart of existence.

The concept nonexistence is a counterpart of the *concept* existence.

They are not the same.

Again, existence, as the entirety, generally speaking, has no counterpart. It is all things. It is all. All is all.

Existence, *the concept*, has a conceptual counterpart, nonexistence. Both of those concepts are things, they are parts of existence.

However concepts alone are not the entirety of existence. The entirety of existence is the entirety of existence and by definition has no counterpart.

Yeah but, are they distinct?

The concepts are distinct.

🗨️

daniel j lavender

Posts: 290

Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm

Location: Tennessee

Contact: 🗨️

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Martin Peter Clarke](#) » Mon Aug 18, 2025 9:39 am

🗨️

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 9:09 am

🗨️ Martin Peter Clarke wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 9:06 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 9:00 am

Certainly an understandable and appropriate inquiry as the concepts are indeed intricate.

I discussed this previously, if further clarification is required it shall be provided:

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 8:35 am

Yeah but, are they distinct?

The concepts are distinct.

🗨️

Martin Peter Clarke

Posts: 1451

Joined: Tue Apr 01, 2025 9:54 pm

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Fairy](#) » Mon Aug 18, 2025 10:02 am

🗨️

🗨️ Martin Peter Clarke wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 8:35 am

Both are identical concepts, distinction is illusion.

Concepts known, know nothing, in this conception.

Nothing knows this.

Ta.

🗨️

Fairy

Posts: 2631

Joined: Thu May 09, 2024 7:07 pm

Location: The United Kingdom of Heaven

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Eodnhøj7](#) » Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:24 am

🗨️

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 8:19 am

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 4:24 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Sat Aug 16, 2025 2:07 pm

You’re conflating existence and my premise.

Distinction concerns conscious beings and their interactions with things. In other words with conscious beings, particulars and relevant interactions distinction applies.

However existence transcends distinction and indistinction. Existence simply is.

Existence is distinction and exceeds it. Existence is indistinction and exceeds it. Existence is both distinction and indistinction and all other variance and similarity which balances and simplifies as being. Existence.

“Is” is a distinction. If it is not a distinction then it is not a thing.

Existence does not require distinction:

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Sat Aug 16, 2025 10:08 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Fri Aug 15, 2025 3:15 am

Existence does not need to be distinct. That is a qualification you artificially place upon existence as a conscious individual.

Existence simply is.

Existence does not need to be distinct.

Existence does not *need*. Existence *is*.

The expression “existence simply is” or “existence is” is perhaps the best, or perhaps the only way to attempt to express such a basic principle or fact.

We are conscious beings using words and language as tools. It’s very difficult if not impossible to express that without, on some level, entangling in complexities such as distinction and indistinction.

Existence is not *merely* a thing.

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 4:24 am

“Exceeds” is not meant to indicate action but meant rather as an expression of extent.

Existence exceeds distinction as existence is indistinction as well. No action is needed, existence simply is the other, too.

It isn’t about expansion or expanding but extent.

If existence does not require distinction than existence is not limited to things as it is not a thing. If existence is indistinct it is not a thing.

The observer is a distinction that occurs by the observation of observation...just line a line between two 0d points (nothing) is the distinction of the line.

🗨️

Eodnhøj7

Posts: 9903

Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Eodnhøj7](#) » Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:27 am

🗨️

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 8:28 am

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 4:29 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Sat Aug 16, 2025 2:25 pm

The observer. Which is something, not nothing.

Atla adequately summarized.

Nothing has not been located.

Self observation is a loop, by observing observation a loop occurs which can be symbolically observed as a line occurring between points. Awareness, the 0d point, observing itself, results in the distinction of observation.

So you concede the observer is a thing, not no thing.

The observer is a distinction that occurs by the observation of observation...just line a line between two 0d points (nothing) is the distinction of the line.

A void of void is emergence. To observe observation is the emergency of the observer.

So yes.

🗨️

Eodnhøj7

Posts: 9903

Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [daniel j lavender](#) » Tue Aug 19, 2025 11:52 am

🗨️

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:24 am

If existence does not require distinction than existence is not limited to things as it is not a thing. If existence is indistinct it is not a thing.

Not *merely* a thing nor *merely* things. Both. Recall the previous statement:

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Sat Aug 16, 2025 2:07 pm

Existence is both distinction and indistinction and all other variance and similarity which balances and simplifies as being. Existence.

Hence existence simply is.

The observer is a distinction that occurs by the observation of observation...just line a line between two 0d points (nothing) is the distinction of the line.

🗨️

daniel j lavender

Posts: 290

Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm

Location: Tennessee

Contact: 🗨️

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Eodnhøj7](#) » Tue Aug 19, 2025 12:06 pm

🗨️

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:27 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 8:28 am

The observer is a distinction that occurs by the observation of observation...just line a line between two 0d points (nothing) is the distinction of the line.

A void of void is emergence. To observe observation is the emergency of the observer.

So yes.

🗨️

Eodnhøj7

Posts: 9903

Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Eodnhøj7](#) » Wed Aug 20, 2025 4:42 am

🗨️

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 12:06 pm

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:27 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Mon Aug 18, 2025 8:28 am

The observer is a distinction that occurs by the observation of observation...just line a line between two 0d points (nothing) is the distinction of the line.

A void of void is emergence. To observe observation is the emergency of the observer.

So yes.

🗨️

Eodnhøj7

Posts: 9903

Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Eodnhøj7](#) » Wed Aug 20, 2025 4:41 am

🗨️

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 11:52 am

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:24 am

If existence does not require distinction than existence is not limited to things as it is not a thing. If existence is indistinct it is not a thing.

Not *merely* a thing nor *merely* things. Both. Recall the previous statement:

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Sat Aug 16, 2025 2:07 pm

Existence is both distinction and indistinction and all other variance and similarity which balances and simplifies as being. Existence.

Hence existence simply is.

So “is” is not a distinction?

The observer is a distinction that occurs by the observation of observation...just line a line between two 0d points (nothing) is the distinction of the line.

🗨️

Eodnhøj7

Posts: 9903

Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Age](#) » Wed Aug 20, 2025 4:56 am

🗨️

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Wed Aug 20, 2025 4:42 am

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 12:06 pm

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:27 am

🗨️ Eodnhøj7 wrote: ↑

Tue Aug 19, 2025 4:27 am

The observer is a distinction that occurs by the observation of observation...just line a line between two 0d points (nothing) is the distinction of the line.

A void of void is emergence. To observe observation is the emergency of the observer.

So yes.

🗨️

Age

Posts: 26385

Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Eodnhøj7](#) » Fri Jun 16, 2023 5:44 pm

🗨️

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Fri Jun 16, 2023 5:44 pm

Existence (n.): Being; that which is perceived, at least in part; that which is interacted with, at least in part, in some way.

To observe observing is to see nothing and yet the observation is a distinct loop arising from nothing.

Existence is spontaneous, as evidenced by the distinction of observation in the above meditation, and this spontaneity leaves existence founded on nothing. The mere appearance of infinity from nothing justifies this spontaneity for the infinity occurs with no foundations and yet if it’s foundations are itself than a finitude by degree of cyclicity occurs and yet this cycle ‘just appears’.

Last edited by [Eodnhøj7](#) on Wed Aug 20, 2025 5:53 am, edited 2 times in total.

Eodnhøj7

Posts: 9903

Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

📅 by [Eodnhøj7](#) » Fri Jun 16, 2023 5:44 pm

🗨️

🗨️ daniel j lavender wrote: ↑

Fri Jun 16, 2023 5:44 pm

Existence (n.): Being; that which is perceived, at least in part; that which is interacted with, at least in part, in some way.

To observe observing is to see nothing and yet the observation is a distinct loop arising from nothing.

Existence is spontaneous, as evidenced by the distinction of observation in the above meditation, and this spontaneity leaves existence founded on nothing.

How could an always eternally existing Thing be spontaneous? And, what do you mean by ‘spontaneous’, exactly?

If you do not even try to clarify these, then you are proving further that ‘your words’ are not able to be substantiated.

Eodnhøj7

Posts: 9903

Joined: Mon Mar 13, 2017 3:18 am

Post Reply

🔍

🔍 Search this topic...

🔍

⚙️

731 posts

1

...

45

46

47

48

49

< Return to “General Philosophical Discussion”

Jump to

🗨️

🏠 Philosophy Now magazine < Board index

✉️ Contact us

🗑️ Delete cookies

⌚ All times are UTC+01:00

Powered by phpBB® Forum Software © phpBB Limited

Privacy | Terms